26

¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

1 2

3 4

5 6

8

9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Later that year, on May 27, 2009, a notice of delinquent assessment for homeowner's association ("HOA") dues was recorded on the property. On September 3, 2010, a notice of HOA sale was recorded. At that HOA sale, plaintiff Beverly purchased the property for \$16,000.

Subsequently, on May 31, 2013, Beverly filed a complaint against defendants to quiet title alleging that her purchase of the property from the HOA sale extinguished all the other liens, including the first deed of trust. Doc. #1, Exhibit A. Thereafter, Wells Fargo filed the present motion to dismiss. Doc. #2.

II. **Legal Standard**

Wells Fargo seeks dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. To survive a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, a complaint must satisfy the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) notice pleading standard. See Mendiondo v. Centinela Hosp. Med. Ctr., 521 F.3d 1097, 1103 (9th Cir. 2008). That is, a complaint must contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). The Rule 8(a)(2) pleading standard does not require detailed factual allegations; however, a pleading that offers "labels and conclusions' or 'a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action" will not suffice. Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009) (quoting Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)).

Furthermore, Rule 8(a)(2) requires a complaint to "contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.* at 1949 (quoting Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570). A claim has facial plausibility when the pleaded factual content allows the court to draw the reasonable inference, based on the court's judicial experience and common sense, that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. See id. at 1949-50. "The plausibility standard is not akin to a probability requirement, but it asks for more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. Where a complaint pleads facts that are merely consistent with a defendant's liability, it stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief." *Id.* at 1949 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

In reviewing a motion to dismiss, the court accepts the facts alleged in the complaint as 2 true. Id. However, "bare assertions . . . amount[ing] to nothing more than a formulaic recitation of 3 the elements of a . . . claim . . . are not entitled to an assumption of truth." Moss v. U.S. Secret 4 Serv., 572 F.3d 962, 969 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting *Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. at 1951) (brackets in original) 5 (internal quotation marks omitted). The court discounts these allegations because "they do nothing more than state a legal conclusion—even if that conclusion is cast in the form of a factual 6 allegation." Id. (citing Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. at 1951.) "In sum, for a complaint to survive a motion to 8 dismiss, the non-conclusory 'factual content,' and reasonable inferences from that content, must be plausibly suggestive of a claim entitling the plaintiff to relief." *Id*. **Discussion** 10 III. In her complaint, Beverly alleges that pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2)(b), Wells Fargo's first 12

1

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

deed of trust was extinguished by the HOA's foreclosure and sale of the underlying property. See Doc. #1, Exhibit A.

The court has reviewed the documents and pleadings on file in this matter and finds that Beverly misconstrues the language of Section 3116(2)(b). NRS 116.3116 relates to liens by homeowner's associations and reads as follows:

- 2. A lien under this section is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except: (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit's owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent . . .
- By its very language, Section 3116(2)(b) specifically states that an HOA lien is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on the property except a first security interest recorded before the date the HOA assessment became delinquent.

Here, the first deed of trust was recorded in October 2004. Defendant Wells Fargo was assigned all rights under the first deed of trust in April 2009, a full month before the delinquent HOA assessment was recorded on the subject property. Thus, Wells Fargo's lien meets the statutory requirements of NRS 116.3116(2)(b) and survived the HOA sale. As such, Beverly took title to the property subject to the first deed of trust.

As an alternative argument, Beverly contends that Section 3116(2)(c) carves out a limited exception to Section 3116(2)(b) that is applicable in this matter. NRS 116.3116(2)(c) provides in pertinent part that:

The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association . . .would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien . . .

Beverly contends that this section provides that the foreclosure of a delinquent HOA assessment lien extinguishes the first security interest on the property if it relates to charges incurred during the nine (9) months prior to the foreclosure. However, once again Beverly misconstrues the statute. The plain language of NRS 116.2116(2)(c) simply creates a limited super priority lien for nine (9) months of HOA assessments leading up to the foreclosure of the first mortgage, but it does not eliminate the first security interest. Contrary to Beverly's assertion, the statutory scheme does not require an HOA to wait until the holder of the deed of trust forecloses on its interest. Rather, as in this case, the HOA may initiate a non-judicial foreclosure to recover delinquent assessments and the purchaser at the HOA sale takes the property subject to the first security interest. Therefore, based on the express language of the statutes, the court finds that Beverly's claim for quiet title fails as a matter of law. Accordingly, the court shall grant Wells Fargo's motion to dismiss.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant's motion to dismiss (Doc. #2) is GRANTED. Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. #1, Exhibit A) is DISMISSED in its entirety.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

Case 3:13-cv-00348-LRH-VPC Document 18 Filed 10/09/13 Page 5 of 5

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to expunge lis pendens (Doc. #3) is
2	GRANTED. Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. shall have ten (10) days after entry of this order to
3	prepare an appropriate proposed order expunging lis pendens and submit the same for approval and
4	signature.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED this 8th day of October, 2013.
7	Outoucc
8	
9	LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	